

Asia Pacific Labour Law Review

Asia Pacific Labour Law Review

Workers' Rights for the New Century

Asia Monitor Resource Centre

2003

Asia Monitor Resource Centre Ltd.

AMRC is an independent non-governmental organisation
that focuses on Asian and Pacific labour concerns.

The Center provides information, research, publishing, training, labour networking
and related services to trade unions, pro-labour groups, and other development NGOs.
AMRC's main goal is to support democratic and independent labour movements in Asia and the Pacific.
In order to achieve this goal, AMRC upholds the principles
of workers' empowerment and gender consciousness, and follows a participatory framework.

Published by

Asia Monitor Resource Centre Ltd (AMRC), 444 Nathan Road, 8-B, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China SAR
Tel: (852) 2332 1346 Fax: (852) 2385 5319 E-mail: admin@amrc.org.hk URL: www.amrc.org.hk
Copyright © Asia Monitor Resource Centre Ltd, 2003
ISBN 962-7145-18-1

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted
in any form without prior written permission.

Editorial Team

Stephen Frost, Omana George, and Ed Shepherd

Layout

Tom Fenton

Cover Design

Eugene Kuo

Acknowledgements

AMRC expresses sincere thanks to the following people and organisations for their gratefully received
contributions to this book.

Suchada Boonchoo (Pun) is co-ordinator for the Asian Network for the Rights of Occupational Accident
Victims. We thank her for all the help in organising our conference of authors in Bangkok.

Thanks to the American Center for International Labor Solidarity, Bangkok, Thailand for a financial con-
tribution towards printing the book.

We are indebted to Oxfam Hong Kong for their financial contribution towards the production costs.

Thanks to the International Labour Organisation for allowing us to use photographs from their library free
of charge.

Eugene Kuo, a freelance photographer and designer, a big thank you for contributing photographs and de-
signing the cover free of charge. Look at www.226-design.com for some of Eugene's stunning work and
ideas.

To Tom Fenton, co-founder (with Mary Heffron) of AMRC – thanks a lot for advice, maps, and all the time
devoted to the layout of the book, free of charge. E-mail: tfenton@igc.org.

Finally, we would like to thank the International Centre for Human Rights and Democratic Development,
Canada, for an extremely generous contribution that covered much of the publishing costs of this book.
Without their last-minute financial assistance, it is possible that this book would never have proceeded be-
yond the editing stage. E-mail: ichrdd@ichrdd.ca; URL: www.ichrdd.ca.

A Brief Note on Labour Legislation in India

Babu Mathew

Legislative history

The history of labour legislation in India is naturally interwoven with the history of British colonialism. Considerations of British political economy were naturally paramount in shaping some of these early laws. In the beginning it was difficult to get enough regular Indian workers to run British establishments and hence laws for indenturing workers became necessary. This was obviously labour legislation in order to protect the interests of British employers. Then came the Factories Act. It is well known that Indian textile goods offered stiff competition to British textiles in the export market and hence in order to make India labour costlier the Factories Act was first introduced in 1883 because of the pressure brought on the British parliament by the textile magnates of Manchester and Lancashire. Thus we received the first stipulation of eight hours of work, the abolition of child labour, and the restriction of women in night employment, and the introduction of overtime wages for work beyond eight



hours. While the impact of this measure was clearly welfarist the real motivation was undoubtedly protectionist!

To date, India has ratified thirty-nine International Labour Organisation (ILO) Conventions of which thirty-seven are in force. Of the ILO's eight fundamental conventions, India has ratified four - Forced Labour Convention 1930, Abolition of Forced Labour 1957, Equal Remuneration 1951, and Discrimination (employment and occupation) Convention 1958.

The organised and the unorganised

An important distinction that is popularly made nowadays in all discussions relating to labour legislation is between workers in the organised/formal sector and those in the informal/informal sector. Many who make this distinction do so with ulterior motives, yet we must reckon with it - especially because out of the total workforce in the country, 92 percent work in the informal sector while only eight percent work in the formal sector.

At the outset it must therefore be remembered that those who were unorganised yesterday are organised today and those who are unorganised today aspire to become the organised tomorrow. Moreover, many rights, benefits, and practices, which are popularly recognised today as legitimate rights of the workers, are those that have accrued as a result of the struggles carried out by the earlier generation of workers. The attempt, prevalent in some circles to pit one section of workers against the others, must therefore be carefully understood and deserves to be rejected outright.

Trade unionism and the Trade Union Act 1926

The first central trade union organisation to come into existence in India was the All India Trade Union Congress (AITUC) in 1920 - almost three decades before India won independence. At about the same time the workers at the Buckingham and Carnatic Mills, Madras went on strike, led by B P Wadia. The management brought a civil suit against the workers in the Madras High Court and not only obtained an injunction order against the strike but also succeeded in obtaining damages against the leader for 'inducing a breach of contract'. This was followed by widespread protests that

finally yielded in the Trade Union Act 1926 giving immunity to the trade unions against certain forms of civil and criminal action. Apart from this aspect the Trade Union Act also facilitated registration, internal democracy, a role for outsiders and permission for raising a political fund subject to separate accounting requirements.

The Trade Union Act facilitates unionisation both in the organised and the unorganised sectors. It is through this law that the freedom of association that is a fundamental right under the Constitution of India is realised. The right to register a trade union however does not mean that the employer must automatically recognise the union - there is in fact no law even today which provides for recognition of trade unions and consequently no legal compulsion for employers, even in the organised sector, to enter into collective bargaining. Yet in reality because of the strength of particular trade unions there is fairly widespread collective bargaining, especially in the organised sector.

Wage determination in the unorganised sector

Wage determination in India has been achieved by different instrumentalities. For the unorganised sector the most useful instrument is the Minimum Wages Act of 1948. Under this law it is open to the appropriate government to fix minimum wages in scheduled industries (which may vary from one state to another) by using either a committee method or a notification method. A tripartite Advisory Committee with an independent Chairman has also been provided for to advise the Government about what the minimum wage should be. Unfortunately, in practice the minimum wage is so low that in many industries there is erosion of real wage despite revision of minimum wage from time to time. A feeble system of indexation has now been introduced in a few states only.

Collective bargaining in the organised sector

An important factor that is not much recognised, but which prevails even today in many organised sector units is fixing and revising wages through collective bargaining. The course of collective bargaining was greatly influenced in 1948 by the recommendations of the Fair Wage Committee that reported that three levels of wages

exist, the minimum wage, the fair wage, and the living wage. These three wage levels were defined and it was pointed out that all industries must pay the minimum wage and that the capacity to pay would be relevant only when it comes to fair wage which in turn could be linked to productivity. In addition to this the fifteenth Indian Labour Conference, a tripartite body, met in 1954 and defined with great precision what the needs-based minimum wage was and how it could be quantified using a balanced diet chart. This gave a great boost to collective bargaining and in many establishments in the organised sector trade unions were able to achieve reasonably satisfactory indexation and a system of paying annual bonus. It is now compulsory under the law, that a thirteenth month of wage must be paid, as a deferred wage, to all those who are covered by the Payment of Bonus Act. The minimum bonus payable is 8.33 percent and the maximum is 20 percent of the annual wage.

Strikes and lockouts

Workers have the right to go on strike, even without notice unless it is a public utility service and employers have the right to declare a lockout subject to the same conditions as a strike. If the parties sort out their differences, either bilaterally or through the offices of the conciliation officer, who can facilitate and persuade but not compel, a settlement which is legally binding on the parties may be arrived at, even when a strike or a lockout is still in progress, but if this does not happen, it is open to the government to refer the dispute to compulsory adjudication and simultaneously ban the strike or lockout.

Conciliation, arbitration, and adjudication

In case the parties that engage in collective bargaining are unable to arrive at a settlement, it is open to either of the parties or to the government to commence conciliation proceedings before a government appointed conciliation officer, whose intervention may produce a settlement, which is then registered in the labour department and becomes binding on all parties. In case conciliation fails it is open to the parties to invoke arbitration or for the appropriate government to refer the dispute to adjudication before a labour court or a tribunal whose decision may then be notified as an award of a binding nature on the parties. There are multiple avenues for dispute

settlement ranging from collective bargaining to conciliation to compulsory adjudication.

Colonial dispute settlement machinery

The above settlement machinery is provided for under the IDA 1947. The framework of this legislation, which is the principle legislation dealing with core labour issues, is of colonial origin. This law originated firstly in the Trade Disputes Act 1929, introduced by the British, when there was a spate of strikes and huge loss of person days and secondly through Rule 81A of the Defence of India Rules 1942, when the British joined the war efforts and wanted to maintain wartime supplies to the allied forces. Interestingly the interim government on the eve of formal independence retained this same framework by enacting the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (IDA), which still remains on the statute book.

Developments after independence

Even though the IDA was primarily meant for industry in the organised sector, its present application has now extended well into the unorganised sector, through judge-made law. Its pro-worker protection clauses and safeguards against arbitrary job losses have evolved over a period of time both through the process of sustained legislative amendments and through the process of judicial activism spread over more than five decades.

The original colonial legislation underwent substantial modification in the post-colonial era because independent India called for a clear partnership between labour and capital. The content of this partnership was unanimously approved in a tripartite conference in December 1947 in which it was agreed that labour would be given a fair wage and fair working conditions and in return capital would receive the fullest co-operation of labour for uninterrupted production and higher productivity as part of the strategy for national economic development and that all concerned would observe a truce period of three years free from strikes and lockouts.

Regulation of job losses

Space does not allow a detailed discussion of this transformation in labour policy and consequent amendments



Transporting cotton (Photographer: M Crozet. Copyright: ILO)

to labour law, but we must take note of provisions that deal with job losses. Under the present law any industrial establishment employing more than a hundred workers must make an application to the Government seeking permission before resorting to lay-off, retrenchment, or closure and if an employer resorts to any of the said forms of creating job losses, it would be illegal and the workers would be entitled to receive wages for the period of illegality. The Reserve Bank of India commissioned a study into the causes of sickness in Indian industry and they reported cryptically, 'Sickness in India is a profitable business'. This chapter in the IDA, which has been identified as offering high rigidity in the area of labour redundancy, has been targeted for change in the era of globalisation and liberalisation.

Protection of service conditions

A feature of the IDA is the stipulation that existing service conditions cannot be unilaterally altered without giving a notice of 21 days to the workers and the union.

Similarly if an industrial dispute is pending before an authority under the IDA, then the previous service conditions in respect of that dispute cannot be altered to the disadvantage of the workers without prior permission of the authority concerned. This has been identified as a form of rigidity that comes in the way of competitiveness in the era of globalisation.

Removal from service

A permanent worker can be removed from service only for proven misconduct or for habitual absence - due to ill health, alcoholism and the like, or on attaining the age of retirement. In other words the doctrine of 'hire and fire' is not approved within the existing legal framework. In cases of misconduct the worker is entitled to the protection of Standing Orders to be framed by a certifying officer of the labour department after hearing management and labour, through the trade union. Employers must follow principles of 'natural justice', which again is an area that is governed by judge-made law. An order of

dismissal can be challenged in the labour court and if it is found to be flawed, the court has the power to order reinstatement with continuity of service, back wages, and consequential benefits. This again is identified as an area where greater flexibility is considered desirable for being competitive.

Return to colonial days!

Almost all pro-worker developments that accrued since independence are now identified as areas that offer rigidity and in the name of introducing flexibility there is pressure on the government of India to repeal or amend all such laws. Interestingly, if such a proposal is fully implemented, labour law, especially for the organised sector, will go back to the colonial framework where state intervention was meant primarily to discipline labour, and not to give it protection.

Globalisation

The most distinctly visible change from globalisation is the increased tendency for offloading or subcontracting. Generally this is done through the use of cheaper forms of contract labour, where there is no unionisation, no welfare benefits, and quite often not even statutorily fixed minimum wages. Occasionally the tendency to bring contract labour to the mother plant itself is seen. This is very often preceded by downsizing, and since there is statutory regulation of job losses, the system of voluntary retirement with the 'golden handshake' is widely prevalent, both in public and private sectors.

Regulation of contract labour

The Contract Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act 1970 provides a mechanism for registration of contractors (if more than twenty workers are engaged) and for the appointment of a Tripartite Advisory Board which investigates particular forms of contract labour, which if found to be engaged in areas requiring perennial work connected with the production process, then the Board could recommend its abolition. A tricky legal question has arisen as to whether the contract workers should be automatically absorbed or not after the contract labour system is abolished. Recently a Constitutional Bench of the Supreme Court held that there need not be such automatic absorption - in effect this 'abolishes' the contract labourer and has given rise to a serious anomaly.

Phase between organised and unorganised

We are already witnessing a reduction in the organised labour force and an increase in the ranks of the unorganised. The above law is a kind of inter-phase in the process of regulating the transition from regular employment to irregular employment. If contract labour is seen as introducing a form of flexibility, a strict enforcement of this Act could have had a salutary effect on the transition process. Instead the enforceability of the Act is now diluted and consequently even the minimum protection envisaged under this law to contract labourers is in jeopardy. Dominant thinking in relation to globalisation is having its effect on the judicial process also, ignoring Directive Principles of State Policy contained in the Constitution of India.

Employment injury, health, and maternity benefits

The Workman's Compensation Act 1923 is one of the earliest pieces of labour legislation. It covers all cases of 'accident arising out of and in the course of employment' and the rate of compensation to be paid in a lump sum, is determined by a schedule proportionate to the extent of injury and the loss of earning capacity. The younger the worker and the higher the wage, the greater is the compensation subject to a limit. The injured person, or in case of death the dependent, can claim the compensation. This law applies to the unorganised sectors and to those in the organised sectors who are not covered by the Employees State Insurance Scheme, which is conceptually considered to be superior to the Workman's Compensation Act.

The Employees State Insurance Act provides a scheme under which the employer and the employee must contribute a certain percentage of the monthly wage to the Insurance Corporation that runs dispensaries and hospitals in working class localities. It facilitates both outpatient and in-patient care and freely dispenses medicines and covers hospitalisation needs and costs. Leave certificates for health reasons are forwarded to the employer who is obliged to honour them. Employment injury, including occupational disease is compensated according to a schedule of rates proportionate to the extent of injury and loss of earning capacity. Payment, unlike in the Workmen's Compensation Act, is monthly.

Despite the existence of tripartite bodies to supervise the running of the scheme, the entire project has fallen into great disrepute due to corruption and inefficiency. Workers in need of genuine medical attention rarely approach this facility though they use it quite liberally to obtain medical leave. There are interesting cases where workers have gone to court seeking exemption from the scheme in order to avail of better facilities available through collective bargaining.

The Maternity Benefit Act is applicable to notified establishments. Its coverage can therefore extend to the unorganised sector also, though in practice it is rare. A woman employee is entitled to 90 days of paid leave on delivery or on miscarriage. Similar benefits, including hospitalisation facilities are available under the law described in the paragraph above.

Retirement benefit

There are two types of retirement benefit generally available to workers. One is under the Payment of Gratuity Act and the other is under the Provident Fund Act. In the first case a worker who has put in not less than five years of work is entitled to a lump sum payment equal to fifteen days' wages for every completed year of service. Every month the employer is expected to contribute the required money into a separate fund to enable this payment on retirement or on relinquishment of employment. In the latter scheme both the employee and the employer make an equal contribution into a national fund. The current rate of contribution is 12 percent of the wage including a small percentage towards family pension. This contribution also attracts an interest, currently 9.5 percent per annum, and the accumulated amount is paid on retirement to the employee along with the interest that has accrued. Unfortunately the employee is allowed to draw many types of loan from the fund such as for house construction, marriage of children, and education etc. As a result very little is available at the time of retirement. This is also a benefit, which is steadily being extended to sections of the unorganised sector, especially where the employer is clearly identifiable.

Women labour and law

Women constitute a significant part of the workforce in India but they lag behind men in terms of work participation and quality of employment. According to Govern-

ment sources, out of 407 million total workforce, 90 million are women workers, largely employed (about 87 percent) in the agricultural sector as labourers and cultivators. In urban areas, the employment of women in the organised sector in March 2000 constituted 17.6 percent of the total organised sector.

Apart from the Maternity Benefit Act, almost all the major central labour laws are applicable to women workers. The Equal Remuneration Act was passed in 1976, providing for the payment of equal remuneration to men and women workers for same or similar nature of work. Under this law, no discrimination is permissible in recruitment and service conditions except where employment of women is prohibited or restricted by the law. The situation regarding enforcement of the provisions of this law is regularly monitored by the Central Ministry of Labour and the Central Advisory Committee. In respect of an occupational hazard concerning the safety of women at workplaces, in 1997 the Supreme Court of India announced that sexual harassment of working women amounts to violation of rights of gender equality. As a logical consequence it also amounts to violation of the right to practice any profession, occupation, and trade. The judgment also laid down the definition of sexual harassment, the preventive steps, the complaint mechanism, and the need for creating awareness of the rights of women workers. Implementation of these guidelines has already begun by employers by amending the rules under the Industrial Employment Standing Orders Act 1946.

Implementation of labour laws

The Ministry of Labour has the responsibility to protect and safeguard the interests of workers in general and those constituting the deprived and the marginal classes of society in particular with regard to the creation of a healthy work environment for higher production and productivity. The Ministry seeks to achieve this objective through enacting and implementing labour laws regulating the terms and conditions of service and employment of workers. In 1966, the Ministry appointed the First National Labour Commission (NLC) to review the changes in the conditions of labour since independence and also to review and assess the working of the existing legal provisions. The NLC submitted its report in 1969. The important recommendations of NLC have

been implemented through amendments of various labour laws. In the areas of wage policy, minimum wages, employment service, vocational training, and worker's education, the recommendations made by the NLC have been largely taken into account in modifying policies, processes, and programmes of the government. In order to ensure consistency of the labour laws and with changes in economic policy, and to provide greater welfare for the working class, the Second NLC was constituted in 1999.

All labour laws provide for an inspectorate to supervise implementation and also have penalties ranging from imprisonment to fines. Cases of non-implementation need to be specifically identified and complaints filed before magistrates after obtaining permission to file the complaint from one authority or the other. Very few cases are filed, very rarely is any violator found guilty, and almost never will an employer be sent to prison. Consequently these powers are used by corrupt officials only for collecting money from employers.

This does not however mean that no labour laws are implemented. On the contrary experience has proved that the implementation of such laws is directly proportional to the extent of unionisation. This generalisation is particularly true of the informal sector.

The unorganised sector

Many of the laws mentioned above apply to the unorganised sector also. In some cases a separate notification may be necessary to extend the application of a particular law to a new sector. It is useful to notice that some pieces of legislation are more general in character and apply across the board to all sectors. The Trade Union Act 1926, The Minimum Wages Act 1948, The Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act 1970, The Workman's Compensation Act 1923, The Payment of Wages Act 1936, are examples of this type. In certain cases, even the IDA 1947 would be included.



Construction of scaffolding in New Delhi (Photographer: J Maillard. Copyright: ILD)

In addition to the above there are special sectoral laws applicable to particular sectors of the unorganised. Under this category are laws like the Building and Construction Workers Act 1996, the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act 1976, The Interstate Migrant Workers Act 1979, The Dock Workers Act 1986, The Plantation Labour Act 1951, The Transport Workers Act, The Beedi and Cigar Workers Act 1966, The Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act 1986, and The Mine Act 1952.

Broadly speaking these sectoral laws either abolish or prohibit an abominable practice like bonded labour or they seek to regulate exploitative conditions by regulating working hours and conditions of service. A recent trend has been to seek the creation of a welfare fund through the collection of a levy from which medical benefits or pension provisions are made. Workers and management may contribute and attempt to set up tripartite boards for implementation of welfare benefits. In some states like Kerala a large number of such boards have already been set up to take care of the welfare of different sectors of employment. Another contemporary effort is to provide an umbrella statute to take care of employment conditions and social welfare benefits for all unorganised sections. Common central legislation for all agricultural workers is also on the anvil. Many powers are vested in quasi-judicial authorities, labour courts, and magistrates' courts. The power of review is in the

High Courts and finally in the Supreme Court. The general experience, with the occasional exception, is unbearable delay. Even where statutes prescribe reasonable time limits the same is not adhered to. Frustration with labour-related justice is heightened by these unlimited delays. A case of dismissal takes almost ten years for the labour court to decide and if the parties decide to seek judicial review in the higher courts there can be unlimited delay.

For the unorganised sector a renewed attempt to focus on the core labour standard identified by the ILO in

its Declaration on Fundamental Rights at Work would still be worth while, especially if we take steps to ensure the implementation of the first of those core labour standards namely the freedom of association and the concomitant right to collective bargaining. It is only through the organisation of the potential beneficiaries that we can hope for some benefits at least to percolate down into the hands of the needy.

Appendix 1

Major events 2000 - 2002

2 February 2000 - Public sector workers went on nationwide strike to send warnings to Government for its brazen anti-PSU, anti-workers, and anti-national policies against all forms of privatisation, disinvestment, and non-payment of wages.

14-15 April 2000 - the thirty-sixth session of the Indian Labour Conference was held to discuss industrial sickness, workers participation in industry, and workers education.

2 December 2000 - This day will be celebrated every year as 'National Forced Labour Day' to honour the ILO declaration.

15 November 2000 - Over one million bank employees all over India went on strike to protest against the Government's move to privatise banking.

5 - 10 Jan 2001 - More than 50,000 medical and sales representatives went on a five-day all-India strike from under the banner of FMRAI.

10 January 2001 - Six million state government employees went on nationwide strike called by the All India State Government Employees Federation.

3 March 2001 - Start of a 67-day strike by BALCO workers in Chattisgarh fighting against the Government decision to sell the company.

9 March 2001 - Global Action Day Against Globalisation was called by all major central trade unions.

16 April 2001 - Major central trade unions called for a strike against the anti-working class budget.

18-19 May 2001 - Indian Labour Conference held its thirty-seventh session. The trade unions protested against the Government's reform policies and this protest culminated in massive joint rallies and demonstrations on 24 June 2001.

August 2001 - The Trade Union (Amendment) Act was passed by the Parliament. The thrust of the Act is to reduce the multiplicity and orderly growth of trade unions, and to promote internal democracy.

11 August 2001 - The national trade unions that participated in the second SAARC regional Trade Union Conference organised by WFTU in New Delhi denounced

the impact of globalisation, and the policies and practices of World Bank, IMF, and WTO in a declaration adopted at the conference.

30 August 2001 - The Supreme Court of India gave its decision against automatic absorption of contract labourer. This order reversed an 1996 order by the same court, which upheld the right of the contract labour deployed permanent nature of work in any establishment to regularise the implementation of the law.

9 November 2001 - The WFTU called upon the working class to observe this day as a Global Trade Union Day of Action. A joint press statement was issued to this effect by major central trade unions. On the same day, as part of the ministry's schemes to liquidate pending cases, the central government's Industrial Tribunal held its first ever Lok Adalat.

27-29 November 2001 - Huge demonstrations were organised to protest against the Government's decision to close six of its jute mills, to pressurise the government to declare a national policy on migration for inter-state migrant workers, and to take up the demands of Beedi workers.

3-5 December 2001 - Over 600,000 coal miners went on a three-day strike against the Coal Mines (Nationalisation) Amendment Bill.

26 February 2002 - Central Government employees observed 'All India Mobilisation Day' against the government policy of downsizing and privatisation.

14 March 2002 - The Central Trade Unions called a national strike in all the central PSU banks and insurance firms to assert united opposition by the trade union movement to the anti-people policies in respect of outright sale and disinvestment of shares of PSU's, uncalled for closure of sick PSU's, and imposing more taxes on the working class.

May 2002 - Approximately one million workers were affected due to closure or shifting of industries due to communal violence in Ahmedabad city of Gujrat.

Appendix 2

Trade Unions In India

There are almost ten major central union organisations of workers based on different political ideologies. Almost every union is affiliated to one of these. These central organisations have state branches, committees, and councils from where its organisation works down to the local level.

Main Central Trade Union Organisations

The Indian National Trade Union Congress

Rajendra Prasad Singh
General Secretary
'Shramik Kendra' Bhai Veer Singh Marg, New Delhi, 110001.
Tel: 91-11-3747767/3747768/3360550
Fax: 91-11-3364244.
Telegram: SHRAMIK

All India Central Council Of trade Unions (AICCTU)

General secretary
U-90, Shakarpur, Delhi, 110092.
Tel: 91-11-2221067
Fax: 91-11-2218248.
Hind Mazdoor Sabha (HMS)
Umraomal Purohit
General Secretary
120, Babar Road, New Delhi, 110001.
Tel: 91-11-3413519.
Fax: 91-11-3411037.
E-mail: hms@nde.vsnl.net.in

Centre Of Indian Trade Unions (CITU)

General secretary
BT Ranadive Bhavan, 13A, Rose Avenue, New Delhi, 110002

All India Trade Union Congress (AITUC)

Gurudas Das Gupta
General Secretary
Pt. Ravi Sankar Shukla Lane (Canning Lane), Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi, 110001.
Tel: 91-11-3387320/3386427
Fax: 91-11-3386427
E-mail:

Bhartiya Mazdoor Sangh (BMS)

Uday Patwardhan
General secretary
'Ram Naresh Bhavan', 2426/27, Tilak Gali, New Delhi, 110055.
Tel: 91-11-3624212
Fax: 91-11-3517307.

United Trade Union Congress (UTUC)

Com. S R Sen Gupta
General Secretary
249, Bipin Behari Ganguly Street, (First Floor), Calcutta, 700012.
Tel: 2375609.

National Fish Workers Forum

Thomas Kocherry (Key Contact Person)
Velankanny Junction, Valiathura P.O.
Thiruvananthapuram-695008, Kerala, India.
E-mail: nff@vsnl.com, fishers@eth.net
Web: www.wffp.org
Tel: +91471505216
Fax: +91471501376